<functional>: What's New, And Proper Usage

```
Stephan T. Lavavej ("Steh-fin Lah-wah-wade")
Senior Developer - Visual C++ Libraries
stl@microsoft.com
```

Getting Started

- Please hold your questions until the end
 - Write down the slide numbers
- Everything here is Standard
 - Unless otherwise specified
- Almost everything here is available in VS 2015
 - Rewrote <functional> for Standard conformance
 - Minor issues will be listed at the end

Lambdas (C++11)

C++14: init-captures, generic lambdas

Example

```
vector<string> v{ "hydrogen", "helium",
  "lithium", "beryllium", "boron", "carbon",
  "nitrogen", "oxygen", "fluorine", "neon" };
stable sort(v.begin(), v.end(),
  [](const auto& 1, const auto& r) {
    return l.size() < r.size(); });</pre>
for (const auto& e : v) {
  cout << e << endl;</pre>
```

Lambdas Aren't Magical

- A lambda expression:
 - Defines a class
 - With operator()(Args) and maybe data members
 - Constructs an object
- Lambda syntax is convenient
 - Handwritten function objects are verbose
- Remember:
 - Lambdas are a Core Language feature
 - std::function is a Standard Library feature
 - Lambdas aren't std::functions

Lambdas Aren't Magical, Really

- Stateless lambdas: convertible to function pointers
 - Handwritten function objects can do that too
 - It's just operator FunctionPointer()
- Remember:
 - A lambda defines a class and constructs an object
 - Lambdas aren't functions
 - Lambdas aren't function pointers
- Never refer to lambdas as "anonymous functions"
 - Or I will make this face >:-[/]

invoke() (C++17)

Terminology: Function Objects

- Usable like functions: func(args)
 - Function pointers
 - Even in C, fp(args) means (*fp)(args), thanks to DMR
 - Classes with operator()(Args)
 - Including lambdas
 - Classes with conversions to function pointers
 - Obscure!
- References to functions are similarly usable
 - Technically, reference types aren't object types

Terminology: Callable Objects

- Callable in a generalized sense
 - Function objects: func(args)
 - Pointers to member functions (PMFs): (obj.*pmf)(args)
 - Pointers to member data (PMDs): obj.*pmd
- The Core Language wants different syntax (hiss!)
 - pmf(obj, args) could be permitted, but isn't
- The Standard Library wants uniform syntax (purr!)
 - INVOKE() was imaginary in TR1 and C++11/14
 - invoke() is available in C++17

invoke(callable, args...)

- Function objects
 - invoke(func, args...) is func(args...)
- PMFs
 - invoke(pmf, obj, rest...) is (obj.*pmf)(rest...)
 - invoke(pmf, ptr, rest...) is ((*ptr).*pmf)(rest...)
- PMDs
 - invoke(pmd, obj) is obj.*pmd
 - invoke(pmd, ptr) is (*ptr).*pmd
- Base PMFs/PMDs can be invoked on Derived things
- invoke() handles both raw pointers and smart pointers

Example

```
template <typename Range, typename Callable>
  void transform print(const Range& r, Callable c) {
 for (const auto& e : r) {
    cout << invoke(c, e) << endl;</pre>
vector<pair<int, int>> v{{4, 40}, {5, 50}, {6, 60}};
transform print(v,
  [](const auto& p) { return p.first * p.first; });
transform print(v,
 &pair<int, int>::second);
```

Things That Use invoke()

- •<functional>
 - std::function
 - reference_wrapper
 - bind()
 - mem_fn()
- <type_traits>
 - result_of

- <future>
 - packaged_task
 - async()
- <mutex>
 - call_once()
- <thread>
 - std::thread

Recommendations

- In non-generic code, invoke() isn't very useful
 - Unless you really hate PMF syntax
- In generic code, invoke() can simplify things
 - Take/store arbitrary callable objects and arguments
 - Give them to invoke(), let it decide what to do
- Don't special-case PMFs/PMDs
 - Inspecting PMF types is a headache
 - Implementing invoke() behavior is extremely difficult

result_of (C++11)

C++14: SFINAE, result_of_t

result_of: Type Trait For invoke()

- C++11 <type_traits> (was TR1 <functional>)
- result_of_t<Callable> is incorrect
- result_of_t<Callable(Args...)> is:
 - decltype(invoke(declval<Callable>(), declval<Args>()...))
- declval() is declared but never defined:
 - template <typename T> add_rvalue_reference_t<T> declval() noexcept;
- In C++14, the ::type SFINAEs away

Example

```
template <typename T, typename Callable>
  auto transform_sort(const vector<T>& v, Callable c) {
 vector<decay t<result of t<Callable&(const T&)>>> ret;
 for (const T& t : v) { ret.push_back(invoke(c, t)); }
  sort(ret.begin(), ret.end());
  return ret;
const vector<string> v{ "hydrogen", "helium", "etc." };
auto lambda = [](const string& s) { return s.size(); };
for (const auto& e : transform sort(v, lambda)) {
 cout << e << endl;
```

result_of Is Tricky

- It answers "what's the type of this invocation?"
 - But it uses different syntax than the real invocation
- cv-qualifiers and value categories can matter
 - declval<Callable/Args>() must match real callable/args
 - Especially when C++11 ref-qualifiers are involved
- The real invocation might do extra work
 - bind() extensively manipulates its arguments
 - async() decays its arguments
- result_of is TR1-era tech, predating decltype

Recommendations

- Avoid using result_of
 - If you must use it, be careful
 - Audit existing usage for bugs, I bet you'll find some
- Use decltype(STUFF), decltype(auto), or auto
 - · Which generic programmers already need to understand
- In general, avoid computing the same thing through different mechanisms
 - If repetition is necessary, prefer exactly repeating text
 - decltype(STUFF) matching return STUFF; is easy to see

 $mem_fn()(C++11)$

Example

```
struct Element {
  bool is metallic() const;
};
size t count metals(const vector<Element>& v) {
  return count if(v.begin(), v.end(),
    mem fn(&Element::is_metallic));
```

mem_fn() Isn't Fun, Really

- Good: Usually terse
- Bad: Resistant to optimization
- Ugly: Won't compile in certain situations
 - Overloaded member functions (need static_cast)
 - Templated member functions (use static_cast)
 - Avoid explicit template arguments, Don't Help The Compiler
 - Default arguments (no workaround)
- Unnecessary with anything powered by invoke()

Recommendations

- Avoid using mem_fn()
 - Algorithm inner loops often affect performance
 - As code evolves, mem_fn() is fragile
 - Auditing existing usage is low priority, though
- Use lambdas, especially generic lambdas
 - They're slightly more verbose
 - But they optimize away
 - And they always compile, like other member function calls

Transparent Operator Functors (C++14)

Example

```
vector<int> ints{ 6, 3, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1 };
vector<string> strs{
  "O'Neill", "Carter", "Jackson", "Teal'c" };
sort(ints.begin(), ints.end(), greater<>());
sort(strs.begin(), strs.end(), greater<>());
for (const auto& e : ints) { cout << e << endl; }
for (const auto& e : strs) { cout << e << endl; }
```

Would You Like To Know More?

- Transparent Operator Functors
 - "Don't Help The Compiler"
 - GoingNative 2013, slides 37-48
 - Gained constexpr before C++14 shipped
- Heterogeneous Associative Lookup
 - "STL Features And Implementation Techniques"
 - CppCon 2014, slides 33-40

Recommendations

- Use greater<> etc. by default
 - Except when you need implicit conversions (very rare)
- Advantages:
 - Avoids truncation/signedness bugs
 - Avoids unnecessary temporaries
 - Avoids unnecessary copies
 - Less verbose
- Unlike mem_fn(), library machinery is OK here
 - Operators are known in advance, perfectly special-cased

bind() (C++11)

Example

```
const vector<int> v{ 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49,
  64, 81, 100, 121, 144 };
cout << count if(v.begin(), v.end(),</pre>
  bind(less<>(), 1, 50)) << endl; // 7
cout << count if(v.begin(), v.end(),</pre>
  bind(less<>(), 50, 1)) << endl; // 5
```

How bind() Works

- auto b = bind(callable, bound_args...);Later: b(unbound_args...);
- callable and bound_args are copied or moved
 - Then they're passed as Ivalues to invoke()
 - So b can be called repeatedly
 - With b's constness, via const-overloaded operator()
- Some bound arguments are special:
 - Placeholders: _1 perfectly forwards first unbound arg
 - reference_wrapper<T>: unwrapped via get() to T&
 - Nested bind(): called with perfectly fwded unbound args
- Unused unbound arguments are ignored

bind() Problems

- Same performance/compiler issues as mem_fn()
 - With function pointers in addition to PMFs/PMDs
- Misuse emits ultra-disgusting compiler errors
- Syntax isn't normal C++, especially nested bind()
- No short-circuiting for logical_and/logical_or
- Surprising behavior: bound args passed as Ivalues
 - Affects unique_ptr, etc.
- Surprising behavior: immediate vs. delayed calls
- Placeholders and nested bind() can move twice

Recommendations

- Avoid using bind()
- Use lambdas, especially generic lambdas
- bind(): good idea in 2005, bad idea in 2015
 - In C++, we usually prefer Library solutions to Core
 - But the Library is terrible at building up function objects
 - Lambdas were added to the Core Language for a reason
 - STL maintainers rarely recommend avoiding the STL
 - bind()'s terseness just isn't worth the price

reference_wrapper (C++11)

C++17: trivially copyable

Class Definition

```
template <typename T> class reference wrapper {
public:
 typedef T type;
  reference wrapper(T&) noexcept;
  reference wrapper(T&&) = delete;
  operator T&() const noexcept;
  T& get() const noexcept;
  template <typename... Args>
    result of t<T&(Args&&...)>
    operator()(Args&&...) const;
```

Example

```
vector<int> v(8);
const auto b = v.begin(); const auto e = v.end();
typedef uniform_int distribution<int> Dist;
auto d20 = [urng = mt19937(1729), dist = Dist(
  1, 20)]() mutable { return dist(urng); };
generate(b, e, d20); // 2 11 8 18 10 11 16 2
generate(b, e, d20); // 2 11 8 18 10 11 16 2
generate(b, e, ref(d20)); // 2 11 8 18 10 11 16 2
generate(b, e, ref(d20)); // 18 4 12 10 8 13 14 10
```

Recommendations

- Almost all algorithms take function objects by value
 - And are allowed to copy them
- Use ref() to pass function objects by reference
 - Avoid explicit template arguments, Don't Help The Compiler
- reference_wrapper is useful elsewhere
 - Like std::thread's constructor
 - But first, learn why it uses DECAY_COPY()
- Be aware of the 3 functions that unwrap
 - make_pair(), make_tuple(), and bind()
 - make_tuple(x, ref(y), cref(z)) is tuple<X, Y&, const Z&>

Removed Old <functional> Stuff (C++17)

Erased... From Existence

- Deprecated in C++11, removed in C++17
- unary_function/binary_function
 - Provided result_type, etc.
- ptr_fun()
 - Wrapped function pointers with result_type, etc.
- mem_fun()/mem_fun_ref()
 - Strictly superseded by mem_fn()
- bind1st()/bind2nd()
 - Strictly superseded by bind()

Recommendations

- Never use the old <functional> stuff
- Remove any existing usage
- C++98/03 algorithms/containers never needed unary_function/binary_function/ptr_fun()
- VS 2015: /D_HAS_AUTO_PTR_ETC=0
 - Also controls auto_ptr and random_shuffle()

std::function (C++11)

C++14: SFINAE

C++17: Converts non-void to void

Example

```
int sum squares(int x, int y) {
  return x * x + y * y; }
vector<function<int (int, int)>> v;
v.emplace back(plus<>());
v.emplace back(multiplies<>());
v.emplace back(&sum squares);
for (int i = 10; i <= 1000; i *= 10) {
  v.emplace back([i](int x, int y) {
    return i * x + y; \}); \}
for (const auto& f: v) { cout << f(4, 5) << endl; }
```

How std::function Works

- function<Ret (Args)> is a wrapper
 - Stores a callable object of arbitrary type
 - Templated on call signature, not callable object type
 - Type erasure, powered by virtual functions (or equivalent)
- Useful when code can't be templated
 - Separately compiled code
 - Virtual functions
 - Container elements

CopyConstructible Required

- The STL usually delays requirements
 - list<T> doesn't require T to have operator<()
 - list<T>::sort() requires T to have operator<()
- std::function is special, due to type erasure
 - function(F) requires F to be CopyConstructible
 - Even though it stores move(f)
 - Even if you never copy std::function
- Can't store movable-only function objects
 - Design limitation; alternatives are being investigated

Small Functor Optimization

- Arbitrary callable objects can be arbitrarily large
 - Eventually, dynamic memory allocation is necessary
- Small callable objects can be stored locally
 - Guaranteed for function pointers and reference_wrapper
 - Otherwise, "small" is the implementer's decision
- is_nothrow_move_constructible needed for SFO
 - Because function::swap() must be noexcept

Magic Numbers

Toolset	VS 2015 x86	VS 2015 x64	libc++ 3.7.0 x64	libstdc++ 5.2.0 x64
std::function	40	64	48	32
SFO Max	32	48	24	16
std::string	24-28	32-40	24	32

Ambiguous C++11, Valid C++14

```
void meow(const function<int (int)>& f) {
  cout << f(3) << endl; }
void meow(const function<int (int, int)>& g) {
  cout << g(4, 5) << endl; }
meow([](int n) { return n * 11; });
meow([](int x, int y) \{ return x * 10 + y; \});

    function<Ret (Args)>(F) is now constrained

    F must be Callable as Ret (Args)
```

Invalid C++14, Valid C++17

```
int x = 1729;
function<void (int&)> f(
    [](int& r) { return ++r; });
f(x);
cout << x << endl;</pre>
```

- Non-void can't be implicitly converted to void
 - According to Core but the Library makes its own rules!
- Also applies to packaged_task, obscure bind<R>()

Unresolved Library Issues

- std::function's operator() is const
 - Can store function objects with non-const operator()
 - Violates the STL's const/multithreading conventions
- This compiles, but really shouldn't:

```
string meow(int x) { return to_string(x); }
function<const string& (int)> hiss(&meow);
```

- VS warns: returning address of local variable or temporary
- I think I can fix this in the Standard, but it's not trivial

Recommendations

- std::function is awesome!
- But use it only when necessary
 - When possible, use templates and auto
- std::function inherently has nonzero costs
 - Time: Type erasure prevents inlining
 - Space: SFO buffer and type erasure consume bytes
 - Codegen: Emits code whether you use it or not
- Avoid unnecessary copies, moves, temporaries

More Info

More Info

- Almost everything here is available in VS 2015
 - Not yet implemented: C++14 result_of/function SFINAE
 - Bugs: async() uses bind(), packaged_task uses function
 - My mem_fn() was sneaky in RTM, fixed in Update 1
- C++17 Working Paper
 - http://www.openstd.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2015/n4527.pdf

Questions?

stl@microsoft.com